UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,406	10/23/2006	Marc C. Michel	30872/41404	1691
	7590 07/18/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAMINER	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BRAINARD, TIMOTHY A	
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/549,406	MICHEL, MARC C.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TIMOTHY A. BRAINARD	3662		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE METERS OF THE MAILING THE METERS OF THE METERS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/549,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims1-7, 9-12, and 16-17

1. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrusiak et al (US 5923285). Andrusiak teaches (claim 1) a radar system comprising a head containing a radar transmitter and a radar receiver and configured to be closely associated with a radar antenna (fig 2, item 31 and col 3, lines 22-37), (claim 1) a signal processing unit included with said head for processing received radar signals and for combining he received radar signals with data from other sources and configured to simultaneously output data in multiple output data feeds for use by at least one display unit (col 2, lines 56-67), (claim 2) the signal processing unit simultaneously

Application/Control Number: 10/549,406 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

outputs data for at least two differing processed radar signals (col 2, lines 56-67),(claim 3) the output data feeds include raw radar signal and a processed radar signal (col 2, lines 56-67), (claim 4) the signals processing unit simultaneously outputs radar signals for differing radar ranges (col 2, lines 56-67), (claim 5) the signal processing unit is controllable by digital input signals (col 3, lines 23-54), (claim 6) the signal processing unit receives control signals for the radar receiver and the radar transmitter (col 3, lines 23-37), (claim7) the signal processing unit receives digital signal inputs which are added to the output data feeds during processing (col 2, lines 56-67), (claim 9) the signal processing unit outputs signals representative of additional data feeds (col 3, lines 23-54), (claim 10) all signals processing is carried out digitally (col 3, lines 23-54), (claim 11) the radar transmitter is controllable by digital signal processing unit (col 3, lines 23-54), (claim 12) the output data feeds are to a standard specification (col 3, lines 23-54), (claim 16) the radar system in combination with a digital display unit which facilitates selection of one or more of the output data feeds from those provided by the radar system (col 2, lines 56-67), (claim 17) a radar system m in combination with a digital display unit wherein the digital display unit has inputs allowing remote control of the radar transmitter the receiver or the signal processing unit (col 6, lines 33-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8

1. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrusiak as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Henri et al (US 4774516). Henri teaches (claim 8) a north heading signal (col 2, lines 29-40). Andrusiak teaches combining a signal combined with a received radar signal to allow synchrony with other data feeds (col 3, lines 23-54). It would have been obvious to modify Andrusiak to include a north heading signal is combined with the received radar signal signals to allow synchrony with other data feeds because it is one of multiple design choices with no new or unexpected results.

Claims 13 and 15

2. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrusiak as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Reese et al (US 2002/0141732). Reese teaches (claim 13) the output data feeds are encoded in Ethernet protocol (para 16), (claim 15) the output data feeds are distributed wirelessly (para 16). It would have been obvious to modify Andrusiak to include the output data feeds are encoded in Ethernet protocol, the output data feeds are distributed wirelessly because it is one of multiple design choices with no new or unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY A. BRAINARD whose telephone number is (571) 272-2132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,406 Page 5

Art Unit: 3662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3662

/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662